

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,631	08/22/2003	Theodore Pasquale	1033-T00532	8452	
60533 7.	590 05/10/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
TOLER SCHAFFER, LLP 5000 PLAZA ON THE LAKES SUITE 265		LA, NICH	LA, NICHOLAS T		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, TX 78746			2617		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/10/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/646,631	PASQUALE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nicholas T. La	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTE WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period for - Failure to repl Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IRLANDING DATE IN A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IRLANDING DATE IN A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IT IS A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MALE IN A STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE STA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Respo	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> .						
• —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	Claims						
4)⊠ Claim	4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u>'</u>	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
•) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Li Claim	(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)∏ The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The di	rawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	to by the Examiner.				
• •	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	ferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) X Information [aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 'Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1) Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant recites "storing a modifiable presentation template in memory local to the wireless-enabled device, the modifiable presentation template comprising fields representing assignable menu positions, wherein a first field represents the menu location and a second field represents a modified location". For the examining purposes, the examiner assumes that as the presentation being modified, the template with field represents a modified location will be stored. Further explanation and correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2) Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph. The applicant recites "the primary template", "the secondary template" on line 5 and 8 of the claim. There is inefficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim or the parent claim of claim 1. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3) Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Sorvari et al. (US Pub. No. 2004/0043758).

Regarding **claim 1**, Sorvari et al. teaches a prioritizing interface system comprising:

a wireless-enable device comprising a housing component, a display and a user input mechanism, the housing component at least partially defining an

internal cavity (Figure 1 shows a housing component that inherently defines an internal cavity to house the circuitry of the handset MS1 shown in figure 2, including a display and a keypad and an internal antenna elements 3, 4, 5, 7; paragraph [0047]);

a display engine located within the internal cavity and operable to initiate presentation of a menu comprising a plurality of selectable items displayed in respective menu positions (figure 2, element 10, 8, 5; paragraph [0047], [0052], a micro-browser controls the display a bookmark list including entries in the form of menu options, i.e., display engine);

a metric engine communicatively coupled to the user input mechanism and located within the internal cavity, the metric engine operable to track at least one selection metric for at least one of the selectable items (figure 2, element 10, 8, 3, 4; paragraph [0053], wherein Sorvari et al. discusses if the key 4 selected, under the control of software 10 being run by controller 8, the appropriate action will be carried out to navigate and display the selectable option);

a priority engine communicatively coupled to the metric engine and located within the internal cavity, the priority engine operable to determine a prioritization level for the at least one selectable item, the prioritization level at least partially based on the at least one selection metric (paragraph [0059], [0060]; Sorvari et al. discusses a service recommendation engine are configured to recommend or determined a preferred or desired subset of service according to user-related filter criteria, i.e., priority engine); and

a mapping engine communicatively coupled to the priority engine and located within the internal cavity, the mapping engine operable to modify an assigned menu position for the at least one selectable item in response to a changed prioritization level for the at least one selectable item (figure 2, element 9, 8; paragraph [0057]-[0058]; Sorvari et al. further discusses a process of updating the bookmarks/list, re-dial lists by removed, shifted the menu position in response to changed prioritization level for one selectable item, i.e.; mapping engine).

Regarding **claim 10**, Sorvari et al. further teaches an interface prioritization method comprising:

presenting a menu within a graphical user interface of a wireless-enabled device, the menu comprising an available menu option displayed in a menu location (figure 3, paragraph [0052]);

receiving a user input selecting the available menu option; tracking a selection metric for the available menu option (figure 3, paragraph [0052]-[0053]); and

using the selection metric to determine an appropriate menu location for the available menu option (figure 3, paragraph [0053], [0060]; Sorvari et al. discusses a method upon making the selection by the user, the wireless device is configured to allow the user to access to the desired services, i.e., appropriate menu location).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,631

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 19, Sorvari et al. further teaches a computer-readable medium having computer-readable data operable to initiate presentation of a menu (paragraph [0051]) comprising a plurality of selectable items displayed in respective menu positions (see figure 3), to track at least one selection metric for at least one of the selectable items (figure 2, element 10, 8, 3, 4; paragraph [0053], wherein Sorvari et al. discusses if the key 4 selected, under the control of software 10 being run by controller 8, the appropriate action will be carried out to navigate and display the selectable option), to determine a prioritization level for the at least one selectable item at least partially based on the at least one selection metric (paragraph [0059], [0060]; Sorvari et al. discusses a service recommendation engine are configured to recommend or determined a preferred or desired subset of service according to user-related filter criteria), and to modify an assigned menu position for the at least one selectable item in response to a changed prioritization level for the at least one selectable item (figure 2, element 9, 8; paragraph [0057]-[0058]; Sorvari et al. further discusses a process of updating the bookmarks list, re-dial lists by removed, shifted the menu position in response to changed prioritization level for one selectable item).

Regarding **claim 2**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system further comprising a plurality of secondary selectable items displayable by the display engine in response to receipt of a user input identifying the at least one selectable item (figure 9A, 12, 13, 16A, 16B, paragraph [0237]).

Regarding **claim 3**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system, wherein the metric engine is further operable to track a selection metric for at least one of the plurality of secondary selectable items (paragraph [0237]-[0261]).

Regarding **claim 4**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system, further comprising: a memory located within the internal cavity; and a data store resident on the memory, the data store comprising a template with fields representing assignable menu positions, at least one of the fields linked to the at least one selectable item (figure 2, element 9; paragraph [0057]).

Regarding **claim 5**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system, wherein the mapping engine links the at least one selectable item to a different field to modify the assigned menu position for the at least one selectable item (figure 2, paragraph [0057]-[0058]).

Regarding **claim 6**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system, wherein the wireless-enabled device is selected from the group consisting of a cellular telephone, a cordless telephone, a notebook computer, an audio player, a video player, and a gaming device (figure 1, 3; paragraph [0083]).

Regarding **claim 7**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system, further comprising:

a memory located within the internal cavity (figure 2, element 10);

a plurality of secondary selectable items displayable by the display engine in response to receipt of a user input identifying the at least one selectable item (figure 9A, 12, 13, 16A, 16B, paragraph [0237]);

the primary template having fields representing assignable menu positions, at least one of the fields linked to the at least one selectable item, the at least one of the fields additionally linked to the secondary template (figure 2, element 9; paragraph [0057]; (figure 9A, 12, 13, 16A, 16B, paragraph [0237]);

the secondary template having fields representing dependent menu positions linked to the respective secondary selectable items (figure 9A, 12, 13, 16A, 16B, paragraph [0237])-[0261]; and

a data store resident on the memory, the data store comprising the primary template and the secondary template (Figure 12, paragraph [0187], [0221]).

Regarding claim 8, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system, wherein the mapping engine links the at least one selectable item to a different field of the primary template to modify the assigned menu position for the at least one selectable item (figure 2, paragraph [0057]-[0058]).

Regarding claims 9, 15, Sorvari et al. further teaches a system, further comprising a preset display template linking the plurality of selectable items to fixed menu positions (paragraph [0076]).

Regarding claims 11, 12, 13, 14, Sorvari et al. further teaches a method comprising storing a presentation template in memory local to the wireless-enabled device, the presentation template comprising fields representing assignable menu positions, wherein a first field represents the menu location and a second field represents a modified location (figure 9A, 16A-B, paragraph [0186], [0221], [0237]-[0261], wherein main menu, sub-menu, i.e., template; options, i.e., fields; Sorvari et al. also discusses storing in a local file system directory to the wireless device an example the specifies the allowed order, structure, and meaning of the tags for the new recommendations. Sorvari et al. further discusses a user can select an option, the selection will link to an available menu option to the sub-menu. Sorvari et al. also discusses there are many options available to the menu so that a selection of any of the options will be determined to link to an appropriate sub-menu in means of removing the initial link associating with the first template and fields).

Regarding **claim 16**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a method, further comprising storing a modifiable presentation template in memory local to the wireless-enabled device, the modifiable presentation template comprising fields representing assignable menu positions, wherein a first field represents the menu location and a second field represents a modified location (figure 6, 7; paragraph [0057]).

Regarding **claims 17, 18**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a method, further comprising receiving a user input indicating a presentation preference (paragraph [0095]).

Regarding **claim 20**, Sorvari et al. further teaches a computer-readable medium, comprising additional computer-readable data operable to maintain a template with fields representing assignable menu positions, at least one of the fields linked to the at least one selectable item, and to link the at least one selectable item to a different field in order to modify the assigned menu position for the at least one selectable item (figure 6, 7; paragraph [0051], [0057]).

Reference Cited

The prior art is made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.

Yang (US Patent No. 6,766,017) teaches a dialing method in mobile telephone.

Toebes (US Patent No. 6,961,590) teaches a method, device, and database for providing communication addresses for communication devices.

Yamagishi et al. (US Pub. No. 2004/0198431) teaches a mobile telephone with priority control function.

Najmi et al. (US Pub. No. 2003/0040850) teaches a intelligent adaptive optimization of display navigation and data sharing.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas T. La whose telephone number is (571)-272-8075. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

Micholas T. Ka

05/05/2006

NICK CORSARO NICK CORSAMINER